Integrated System and Management Technology

E-ISSN: 3123-9811

Research Article

Integrated and Spatiotemporal Predictive Data-Driven Narcotics Intelligence Ecosystem: Governance, Interoperability, and Analytics Pipeline for Evidence-Based Policy

(Case Study: BNNP West Java, Indonesia)

Luki Ishwara[1]*, Agus Nursikuwagus[2], Ednawati Rainarli[3], Zainal Arifin Hasibuan[4], Sri Supatmi[5]

[1]Doctoral Program in Information System, Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia; e-mail: luki.75725018@mahasiswa.unikom.ac.id
[2]Doctoral Program in Information System, Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia; e-mail: agusnursikuwagus@email.unikom.ac.id
[3]Doctoral Program in Information System, Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia; e-mail: ednawati.rainarli@email.unikom.ac.id
[4]Doctoral Program in Information System, Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia; e-mail: zhasbua@email.unikom.ac.id
[5]Doctoral Program in Information System, Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia; e-mail: sri.supatmi@email.unikom.ac.id
*Corresponding Author: Luki Ishwara

Abstract. Across BNN, police, health, corrections, and local government, Indonesia's crossagen cynarcotics control produces a lot of data yet fragmented, limiting timely identification of abuse patterns,hotspots, and resource requirements. It aims to bridge the gap between international breakthroughson the use of machine-learning–based monitoring and optimization under uncertainty and underdeveloped provincial integration of governance, interoperability, and predictive analytics in the public sector. It is about designing and assessing an integrated spatiotemporal predictive, datadriven narcotics intelligence ecosystem for BNNP West Java. The approach combines iterative information systems engineering with an embedded case study and a mixed-methods evaluation covering seven phases: requirements structuring; data governance and quality; federated/hybrid interoperability and Privacy-Preserving Record Linkage; spatiotemporal predictive pipelines with both baseline and advanced models and anomaly detection; hotspot and risk mapping; early warning and situational dashboards linked to operational protocols; and implementation assessment with institutional learning.Evaluation utilizes quantitative measurements for data quality and model performance (including lead time and false-alarm considerations) and qualitative findings evaluating governance readiness and usability. Expected outputs can comprise a four-pillar framework bridging governance and policy impact, replicable artefacts to be deployed at the provincial level, and implications for evidence-based narcotics policy under national digital-government agendas, with considerations for data-access andprivacy limitations.

Keywords: Data Governance; Early Warning System; Interoperability; Narcotics Intelligence; Spatiotemporal Predictive Analytics.

Received: April 05, 2026
Revised: May 17, 2026
Accepted: June 08, 2026
Online Available: June 13, 2026
Curr. Ver.: June 13, 2026

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY SA) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Control of narcotics is becoming increasingly dependent on a combination of administrative, criminal justice, health, and community-level data to track patterns of abuse, pathways of distribution, and the needs of services. Responsibility for the distribution of such activities is shared, as with other institutions in Indonesia, by the National Narcotics Agency (BNN) at different administrative levels in conjunction with police, health authorities, hospitals, correctional facilities, schools, and village level institutions. Although these sources are complementary with one another, they generally remain embedded in heterogeneous information systems with inconsistent

coding schemes, variable reporting timeliness, and uneven data quality. As a result, cross-agency aggregation is expensive, error-prone, and seldom favors a systems-based predictive analytics approach for trend monitoring, hotspot identification, or early warning. The Provincial National Narcotics Agency (BNNP) supports such a kind of inter-agency cooperation as it is the backbone of a coordinated approach at the provincial level. West Java is a unique case for the empirical study area due to its population, its significant geographical, social, and economic heterogeneity, and this increases the opportunity and the challenge for integration across systems. These can comprise national digital-government programs such as Indonesia's One Data policy and Electronic Government-Based System (SPBE), and data-driven decision-making agendas in the law and social sectors as an argument for advanced, interoperable, and analytics-ready data infrastructures. Elsewhere, studies have shown that, using machine-learning pipelines, actionable patterns can be extracted from data on drug related fatalities and health surveillance and that stochastic optimization informed by spatiotemporal incident modelling can enhance operational deployment in an uncertain setting. Unfortunately, this work has mainly been described in high-income countries whose institutional conditions and regulatory conditions differ. Efficacy of shared approaches on joint data governance, privacy-preserving interoperability, and provincial-scale spatiotemporal predictive analytics among Indonesian public sector drug institutions also lack empirical works on the first-order constraints of sensitive data, fragmented mandates, and trust boundaries between agencies in the same space of the system (e.g., across the federal and provincial levels). Within this framework, the current study treats narcotics intelligence as not a single predictive model but an ecosystem in which governance and interoperability prerequisites support trustworthy integration that in its turn underpins spatiotemporal analytics, hotspot and risk mapping, and early-warning techniques that converge with policy and operational decision making.

Problem Statement

This study aims to address this by finding that deficiencies in governance, low interoperability and limited capabilities of inter-agency analytical capabilities work together to keep existing narcotics data from being transpositional in West Java, so that this data is difficult to be converted into accurate, actionable prediction intelligence, useful for evidence-based policy. This limitation is multi-leveled. From a technical perspective, the system silos, non-uniform formats, repeating of same systems processes and delayed reporting, can limit integration reliability without the development of standardized metadata, quality rules and remediation systems. At an institutional level, cross-agency collaboration depends on clear role definitions, access and privacy policies, and auditability particularly in respect of sensitive records – but they are, however, in large part incomplete or unevenly applied. Analytically, no end-to-end pipelines, from feature building and modelling to evaluation metrics, such as lead time and false-alarm burden, might connect outputs to early warning, prioritization, resource allocation for organizations even where data are at least partially available. A further gap lies in the lack of a structured information-flow from analytical products to operational protocols and policy review cycles. Untussed, these limitations often lead to reactive strategies, dependence on manual reporting and ad-hoc coordination, and a failure to exploit prevention opportunities in an emerging high-risk region--although we are witnessing an increase in the availability and dissemination of digital data and the national dedication towards data-driven governance. Accordingly, what drives this work is the overall exploration of the concept of How could design interoperability architecture for data governance (DRG) that has been validated as the core of cross agency spatiotemporal predictive analytics for narcotics intelligence in BNNP West Java with regard to an inimitable dimension of integration, quality of and the data policy applicable to it? The methodology section identifies accompanying sub-questions, which are then operationalized through multi-phase system engineering.

Contribution

Purpose and contributions. The study contributes (i) a provincial narcotics intelligence architecture that explicitly couples governance and privacy-preserving interoperability with spatiotemporal analytics and decision-support artefacts; (ii) a replicable multi-stage meth odology combining mixed-methods evaluation with technical performance criteria; and (iii) practical design knowledge for Indonesian public-sector narcotics agencies seeking to op erationalize national digital-data policies without consolidating all sensitive data in a single repository.

2. Literature Review

Bibliometric Analysis

To identify the research issues related to a more data-driven narcotics intelligence system, a bibliometric map of the databases was generated, including data governance, cross-agency interoperability, spatiotemporal predictive analytics, hotspot detection, and early warning systems in the areas of public health, law enforcement, and public policy. Data sources were Scopus and Web of Science for 2018–2025 via keyword combinations: ("data governance" OR "interoperability") AND ("predictive analytics" OR "spatiotemporal" OR "early warning"); ("drug" OR "narcotic" OR "overdose") AND ("machine learning" OR "data mining") AND ("cluster" OR "hotspot"); and ("law enforcement" OR "resource allocation") AND ("optimization" OR "deployment") AND ("uncertainty" OR "stochastic"). Published work demonstrates an increasing amount in merging data mining/machine learning and overdose pattern and risk factor analysis [1], and law enforcement resource deployment optimization and spatiotemporal incident prediction [2]. The key application domains are public health surveillance, prediction of outbreaks, crime hotspot, and emergency response. Commonly used approaches cover clustering (KMeans, HDBSCAN), classification (Random Forest, SVM), anomaly detection (Isolation Forest, LOF, One-Class SVM), spatiotemporal analysis (Gaussian Process, STARIMA, Bayesian, GNN/Transformer), and under uncertainty optimization (Sample Average Approximation, ILS heuristic). Important research clusters include: (i) multi-source data integration for surveillance and prediction [3, 4]; (ii) data governance and interoperability (FAIR, PPRL, federated analytics) [5] [6] [7]; (iii) the spatiotemporal modeling and data mining [8], [9] [10]; (iv) hotspot and risk mapping for crime/drugs [12], [13]; and (v) the evaluation of early warning and prediction system [14]. This study forms the nexus of these clusters within the under-researched empirical landscape of Indonesian public institutions (BNNP).

Content Analysis of Literature

The conceptual framework of a data-driven intelligence ecosystem is the path from raw data to predictive insight to policy decisions, with governance and interoperability being prerequisites. As an example, metadata standards and data exchange are made possible by the FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) principles & interoperable workflow practices [5]. For sensitive cross-agency data, the use of Privacy-Preserving Record Linkage (PPRL) and federated analytics allows for collaboration without moving raw data [6], [7], which addresses the importance of sharing raw data to BNNP. These surveys of challenges and patterns in spatiotemporal data mining in spatiotemporal predictive analytics [8] and of requirements for anomaly detection and gap-filling [11] guide feature engineering and preprocessing. Baseline space-time statistical models (e.g. SD-STARIMA) are applied for comparison and interpretability [9], and the ML/DL models, Spatio-temporal GNN, Transformer and Bayesian spatiotemporal are applied for complex space-time dependencies and uncertainty estimation [10], [15]. In overdose and crime cases, clustering (KMeans, HDBSCAN) and classification (Random Forest, SVM, KNN) are useful for risk group segmentation and risk factor prediction [1]; geographic anomaly detection (One-Class SVM, Isolation Forest, LOF) can be useful for detecting unusual displacement patterns likely to provide evidence of trafficking or healthcare deserts. In hotspot and risk mapping, systematic reviews focusing on spatiotemporal methods (KDE, clustering, scan statistics, predictive models) and predictive geo-visualization for real-time analysis can assist in operationalizing [12], [13]. Operationally, resource allocation optimization based on uncertainty with spatiotemporal Gaussian Process-based incident generation and Sample Average Approximation—demonstrates that flexible deployment plans can be established with very

small amounts of historical data [2], which can then be applied to resource allocation for regions and prevention of narcotics-related incidents. The research gaps that this study aims to address are: (1) Lack of empirical studies that integrate data governance, interoperability framework, and predictive analytical in the Indonesian public sector, particularly with regard to narcotics; (2) Full pipeline from governance–integration–analytics–hotspot–early warning from one entire provincial environment; and (3) Direct link between data mining/ML approach around overdoses and risk patterns and optimization-under-uncertainty framework in supporting operational decision-making.

Conceptual Framework

Four pillars form the conceptual framework: (1) data governance quality, security, and reliability of cross-agency data; (2) interoperabilit secure, privacy-compliant integration through federated/hybrid architecture and PPRL; (3) spatiotemporal predictive analytics the utilization of integrated data to generate trends, anomaly detection, and risk estimation; and (4) policy impact via an early warning system, situational awareness dashboard, and data-to decision loop. The four pillars represent a causal flow: governance → interoperability → analytics → policy impact, with feedback from policy outcomes to improved governance (institutional learning loop). The SRQ, along with problem-solving steps in the methodology, are grounded in this conceptual model.

3. Proposed Method

System Research Questions

General SRQ: In BNNP West Java, what is a possible design and evaluation of an integrated, spatiotemporal predictive data-driven narcotics intelligence ecosystem? SRQ-1 (Governance): What data governance framework can ensure quality, security, and reliability of cross-agency data in the narcotics data ecosystem in West Java? SRQ-2 (Interoperability): What interoperability architecture enables secure, efficient, and privacy-compliant integration of cross-agency data? SRQ-3 (Analytics): What spatiotemporal predictive analytics pipeline is effective at identifying patterns, trends, and hotspots of narcotics abuse at the provincial level—including the role of clustering, risk classification, and geographic anomaly detection? SRQ-4 (Policy Impact): How can analytical outputs be transformed into evidence-based policy and intervention through an early warning system and data-to-decision loop?.

Research Approach

The research adopts an iterative information systems engineering (system-building) approach: generating artefacts (governance blueprint, interoperability architecture, analytics pipeline, hotspot/risk maps, dashboard), verifying their performance with multi-source data, and assessing technical effectiveness for stakeholders. The design is an embedded case study within a provincial cross-agency ecosystem (BNNP West Java). Evaluation is conducted using both quantitative (data and model performance metrics: data quality metrics, accuracy, lead-time, and false alarm rate) and qualitative (user needs, governance, and implementation readiness: interviews, FGDs, and usability testing).

Problem-Solving Steps

Stage 1, Requirements Analysis and Problem Structuring. Here we identify stakeholders, such as the BNNP (National Anti-Narcotics Agency) at provincial and district levels, police departments, health offices, hospitals, correctional facilities, educational institutions, and local communities. Data duties of each stakeholder are explicit. We establish priority use cases which include early detection, hotspot identification, resource allocation, and assessing intervention effectiveness. We also include data on the outcome measures and lead-time in the warnings, which range from 1 to 4 weeks. Dashboards are also developed with requirements as listed including: provincial and district-level views, drill-down capabilities, mapping functions, and alarm systems. Outputs of this stage can include a document for all requirements needs, a list of use cases, a RACI chart (Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, Informed), an indicator dictionary, and definitions for early signals.

Stage 2, Data Governance, Metadata and Data Quality. For this stage, datasets produced by each agency are systematically inventoried, including information on their format, periodicity, ownership, legal basis, and sensitivity. A detailed data catalog is presented, as are a classification system categorizing data as public, restricted, or sensitive, and a metadata schema is developed. A data dictionary and a code mapping region, case type, status, location,

and time are also defined. Profiling and quality rules concerned with completeness, consistency, validity, and timeliness are included to guarantee the data integrity. A remediation process is set up through which ticketing and feedback is given to the owners of data. Outputs: The deliverables at this stage are a data catalog and lineage, metadata schema, data dictionary, quality rules, and a baseline quality report.

Stage 3, Interoperability architecture and Integration with privacy, at this stage, we create major patterns for interoperability and integration of privacy. This involves the use of federated query and analytics for very sensitive data, aggregation for provincial-level analytics, and event streaming for near real-time indicators as far as possible. We design data contracts (API/ETL), set exchange standards and ensure strong validation and versioning processes throughout their existence. In order to enable cross-system entity linkage including case management, healthcare, rehabilitation, and corrections while protecting raw identity, we utilize pseudonymization and Privacy-Preserving Record Linkage (PPRL). We also build ETL orchestration and integration monitoring systems for smooth data flow. Outputs: A full architecture document, detailed data contracts and service level agreements (SLA), the full-blown integration pipeline, the PPRL protocol, and a complete audit trail.

Stage 4, Spatiotemporal Predictive Analytics Pipeline. Then in this step we standardize the spatial units (district/city, subdistrict, village) and temporal units (daily/weekly/monthly), ensuring that geocoding and data aggregation adhere to privacy policies. Finally, we build a spatiotemporal feature store to store data such as lag and lead time series, seasonality, spatial neighbors, and contextual indicators. You use a baseline model (like STARIMA or a seasonal model) and compare it (similar types of models). To be part of this, we create advanced ML and DL spatiotemporal models such as GNN, Transformers, and Bayesian approaches. Also, an anomaly detection module is added that captures spikes, outliers, and regime changes. This process is based on clustering methods (KMeans, HDBSCAN), risk classification techniques (Random Forest, Support Vector Machine) and geographic anomaly detection techniques (One-Class SVM, Isolation Forest, Local Outlier Factor) that are specifically adapted for overdoses [1]. Our models are validated with rolling-origin backtesting and hold-out evaluations at different regions and time points. We check performance with Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Area Under the Curve (AUC), lead time, false alarm rate, and calibration. Outputs: Feature store, Baseline and selected models, Anomaly detection module, Model evaluation reportt.

Stage 5, Hotspot Detection, Risk Mapping, and Intervention Prioritization, We use techniques from Kernel Density Estimation (KDE), spatial clustering, scan statistics, and predictive model outputs in this stage to recognize relevant events such as enforcement actions, overdose indicators, or service/rehabilitation events. We provide risk maps with uncertainty layers to improve our understanding of risk distribution. To ensure robustness, we assess hotspot stability and consistency over various spatial scales considering the Modifiable Areal Unit Problem (MAUP). We determine a prioritized ranking of areas based on our findings and develop operational intervention recommendations, which include enhancing patrols, educational initiatives, screening processes, and optimized resource allocation. Outputs: Hotspot and risk maps, area priority rankings, and intervention recommendations.

Stage 6, Early Warning System, Dashboard, and Data-to-Decision Loop. In this stage, we establish probabilities and thresholds to define early warning indicators and alarm levels: green, yellow, red. A situational awareness dashboard, which includes space-time trends, predictive hotspots, analysis of data quality, and status on follow-up, has been developed. We integrate notification systems with response standard operating procedures (SOPs) and create an action log document for any decisions/actions to allow for feedback to the data/models. At the level of usability and policy relevance testing: In BNNP and our partners, we work together and implement table-top scenarios for testing usability and policy relevance. Deliverables consist of: alarm rules, dashboard prototype, response SOP, action log, comprehensive reporting package.

Stage 7, Implementation Evaluation and Institutional Learning Loop Baseline KPIs (pre-system) are set (report compilation time, at-risk map accuracy, data quality, cross-agency coordination). A limited pilot in selected districts/cities is run; before after comparison on KPIs is performed. Expert review and FGDs assess policy relevance and feasibility. Governance, integration, and models are revised based on results (learning loop). A provincial

implementation roadmap (scale-up), including workforce and process change needs, is prepared. Outputs: end-to-end evaluation report, improvement recommendations, implementation roadmap.

Data, Instruments, and Analytical Techniques

Data consists of structured secondary data obtained from district BNN, police, health office, hospitals, correctional facilities, and regional sources (demographics, mobility), categorized as event/case, health service and impact indicators, and contextual risk indicators. Instruments: requirements templates (BRD/PRD), usability questionnaires, and policy evaluation forms. Analytical techniques: (1) descriptive for data characteristics and quality; (2) spatial and spatiotemporal analysis (KDE, hotspot, risk mapping); (3) predictive modelling (STARI-MA/Bayesian, GNN/Transformer, clustering, classification, anomaly detection); (4) model performance evaluation (MAE/RMSE/AUC, lead-time, false alarm); (5) thematic qualitative analysis for governance, adoption, and policy impact. Ethics, privacy, and compliance: data minimization, pseudonymization, PPRL, audit trail, and compliance with Indonesian regu-lation and institutional policy.

4. Expected Results and Discussion

This study is intended to produce an end-to-end provincial narcotics intelligence ecosystem for BNNP West Java, including governed cross-agency datasets, interoperability artefacts (data contracts, monitoring, and PPRL linkage), validated spatiotemporal predictive models with anomaly detection, hotspot and risk maps with uncertainty layers, and an early warning dashboard connected to response SOPs. Technical evaluation will focus on data-quality improvement (completeness, consistency, validity, and timeliness), model performance (e.g., MAE/RMSE/AUC) and operational utility (lead-time, false-alarm rate, and calibration), complemented by stakeholder FGDs and usability testing to assess governance readiness, workflow fit, and policy relevance.

5. Conclusions

Synthesis

Addressing fragmented data across agencies and restricted predictive intelligence capacity in provincial narcotics control, by specifying, designing, and evaluating an integrated data-driven intelligence ecosystem for BNNP West Java. Instead of viewing predictive modelling as a discrete technical task, this research frames narcotics intelligence as an end-to-end socio-technical process in which governance and interoperability prerequisites enable trustworthy integration, spatiotemporal analytics generate operational insight, and decision-support artefacts connect outputs to early warning and policy-oriented response. The results presented are thus viewed as design knowledge and evaluable artefacts produced through iterative information-systems engineering and mixed-methods assessment rather than as a single algorithmic benchmark.

Contribution

In concept, the study offers a four-pillar framework for governance, interoperability, analytics, and policy impact, connected to a data-to-decision pathway and institutional learning loop that brings back policy and operational experience in governance to refining. This framing also explains why technical integration is not enough to enable actionable intelligence in sensitive public-sector settings, and locates the research within current discussions of data-driven governance and privacy-preserving collaboration across agencies. Methodologically, the project provides a staged and replicable approach through requirements structuring, data governance & quality assurance, federated/hybrid interoperability with Privacy-Preserving Record Linkage (PPRL), spatiotemporal predictive pipelines with baseline and advanced models and anomaly detection, hotspot & risk mapping, early warning and situational dashboards, and implementation evaluation with learning-loop re-vision. Qualitative evidence around usability, governance readiness, and policy relevance is explicitly paired with quantitative model and data-quality metrics to bolster external validity for public-sector information systems design-oriented research. Practically, the study provides an artifact portfolio (e.g. data catalogues and lineage documentation, integration architecture and contracts, predictive and anomaly-detection modules, risk maps with uncertainty layers, dashboard prototypes, and response protocols) for prioritizing areas and resources, and for

operationalizing national digital-government data agendas under privacy and mandate constraints.

Implications

For theory, this study advances public-sector information systems and data-driven governance scholarship by presenting an integrated design account that unites governance, privacy-preserving interoperability, and spatiotemporal predictive analytics into a single provincial narcotics context an empirical setting that remains comparatively under-represented in the international literature. For practice, the paper suggests that BNNP and partner agencies have opportunities not just to share more data, but to institutionalize metadata standards, quality remediation, controlled linkage, and decision routines that make analytical outputs actionable and auditable and can be used to improve cross-agency coordination.

Limitations

There are several limitations that need to be acknowledged. First, the strength of evidence depends on the timeliness, completeness, and consistency of secondary records obtained by multiple agencies; missing data and reporting delays can limit model calibration and generalization. Second, privacy regulation as well as ethical safeguards restrict the spatial and temporal granularity where linkage and mapping can be concerned, which can hinder hotspot stability and make small-scale patterns unfathomable. Third, single-province embedded case evidence would provide rich contextual detail but restrict statistical generalization; transferability to other provinces or sectors should be approached as dependent on institutional and data-context similarity rather than automatic. Lastly, all causal claims pertaining to policy impact should be interpreted with caution when randomized or quasi-experimental evaluation is not feasible.

Future Research

Such research may be extended into four complementary lines in the future. First, increase prediction fidelity by integrating additional policy-compliant data streams (including carefully governed open-source signals where legally and ethically permissible) and by stress-testing models under alternative privacy-preserving aggregation schemes. Second, do a comparative replication, across provinces or countries, to see which governance and interoperability design choices look strong in each institutional context. Third, better incorporate longitudinal evaluations of organizational adoption, workflow change, and measurable policy outcomes beyond short-cycle technical metrics. Fourth, explore adaptive operational decision support such as learning-based dispatch or resource reallocation policies that explicitly couples predicted demand with feasibility constraints in real time, while preserving auditability and accountability requirements in public sector deployments.

References

[1] S. U. Athif, M. Bhattacharjee, R. Khan, and N. U. Amin, "Data mining and machine learning approaches for analyzing drug-related overdose patterns and risk factors," Preprints, 2025, doi: 10.20944/preprints202504.2509.v1.

[2] J. Chase, D. T. Nguyen, H. Sun, and H. C. Lau, "Improving law enforcement daily deployment through machine learning-informed optimization under uncertainty," in Proc. 28th Int. Joint Conf. on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI-19), 2019, pp. 5815–5821.

[3] M. Adnan et al., "Potential early identification of a large Campylobacter outbreak using alternative surveillance data sources: Autoregressive modelling and spatiotemporal clustering," JMIR Public Health Surveill., 2020, doi: 10.2196/18281.

[4] R. Alam, R. A. Khan, S. Das, and K. Udddin, "Combating antibiotic resistance: Leveraging big data for predictive global surveillance," J. Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol., vol. 2, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.61424/jcsit.v2i1.427.

[5] E. Bertsch-Aguilar et al., "LIPROS: Findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable data simulation workflow to predict accurate lipophilicity profiles for small molecules," Mol. Informatics, 2025, doi: 10.1002/minf.70007.

[6] M. Batech et al., "Combining real-world and clinical trial data through privacy-preserving record linkage: Opportunities and challenges—a narrative review," Health Sci. Rep., 2025, doi: 10.1002/hsr2.71272.

[7] E. Tartaglia et al., "Risk of all-cause death and pancreatic events following GLP-1 RA initiation in people with obesity or type 2 diabetes: Observations from a federated research network," Cardiovasc. Diabetol., 2025, doi: 10.1186/s12933-025-02986-0.

[8] A. Hamdi et al., "Spatiotemporal data mining: A survey on challenges and open problems," Artif. Intell. Rev., 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10462-021-09994-y

[9] Y. Zhao et al., "A new seasonal difference space-time autoregressive integrated moving average (SD-STARIMA) model and spatiotemporal trend prediction analysis for hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS)," PLOS ONE, 2018, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207518

[10] A. Cini, I. Marisca, F. M. Bianchi, and C. Alippi, "Scalable spatiotemporal graph neural networks," in Proc. AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell., 2023, doi: 10.1609/aaai.v37i6.25880.

[11] D. Ge et al., "An enhanced abnormal information expression spatiotemporal model for anomaly detection in multivariate time-series," Complex Intell. Syst., 2024, doi: 10.1007/s40747-023-01306-x.

[12] U. M. Butt et al., "Spatio-temporal crime hotspot detection and prediction: A systematic literature review," IEEE Access, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3022808.

[13] M. Salcedo-Gonzalez et al., "Spatiotemporal predictive geo-visualization of criminal activity for application to real-time systems for crime deterrence, prevention and control," ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf., vol. 12, no. 7, 2023, doi: 10.3390/ijgi12070291.

[14] N. Jonkmans, V. D'Acremont, and A. Flahault, "Scoping future outbreaks: A scoping review on the outbreak prediction of the WHO blueprint list of priority diseases," BMJ Global Health, 2021, doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2021-006623.

[15] I. G. N. M. Jaya, H. Folmer, and J. Lundberg, "A joint Bayesian spatiotemporal risk prediction model of COVID-19 incidence, ICU admission, and death with application to Sweden," Ann. Reg. Sci., 2022, doi: 10.1007/s00168-022-01191-1.